

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.ispic.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/935,232	08/22/2001	Gary Gilliam	303.221US4	9324	
21186	7590 03/20/2003				
SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A. P.O. BOX 2938			EXAMINER		
			KARLSEN, ERNEST F		
MINNEAPOL	MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2829		
			DATE MAILED: 03/20/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<i>N</i>				
	Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/935,232	GILLIAM, GARY				
Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
,	Ernest F. Karlsen	2829				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	ne correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is tess than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS tute, cause the application to become ABAND	e timely filed days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>0</u>	<u> 2 December 2002</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>19-43</u> is/are pending in the applica	ation.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>19-43</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc	cepted or b) \square objected to by the E	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		proved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the l	Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in Appli	cation No				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language parts) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	provisional application has been	received.				
Attachment(s)	,,					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Inform	nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/935,232 Page 2

Art Unit: 2829

1. The amendment filed December 2, 2002 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132 because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132 states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: There is no support in the originally filed application for the material added at page 2, line 24, stating that the memory is an array or that it is DRAM memory.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

- 2. Claims 19-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. There is nothing in the original disclosure relating to "dynamics random access memory (DRAM)" or to an "array of memory cells".
- 3. Claims 19-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. There is no disclosure of what is contained in the charge pump or how it and other circuitry would form a voltage regulator. In order to be a voltage regulator a device has to sense a voltage level at a point where the voltage is to be regulated and control the voltage at that point. No sensing of what the voltage is at Vbb seems to be present. How can regulation take place without sensing the level of that to be regulated? The disclosure simply says the apparatus of

Application/Control Number: 09/935,232 Page 3

Art Unit: 2829

Figure 1 is a voltage regulator without detail of how regulation takes place. Looking at Figure 1, presumably Vcc is a source with one side tied to a reference level. Presumably the substrate would have one part connected to Vbb and another part connected, maybe through additional impedance, to a reference level. It isn't clear which terminal of the charge pump is a sensing terminal and which is an output terminal but presumably the terminal on the right is the output terminal. If the terminal on the left is the sense terminal it would appear that it would always sense the drop across M1 and would hold the substrate at a level related thereto regardless of the status of switches M4 and M6.

4. Claims 19-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is still not clear what the claimed elements are or how they would function. The meaning of any words not in the original disclosure is not clear. It is not clear how the voltage regulator is coupled to the substrate.

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 19-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McLaury in view of Bynum et al, Yim et and Sawamura.

Application/Control Number: 09/935,232 Page 4

Art Unit: 2829

McLaury shows apparatus for regulating substrate bias. Bynum et al show the concept of controlling the bias applied to a substrate by shunting or not shunting a diode in a line that applies a voltage to a substrate. Yim et al shows that plural diodes may be used in a line to tailor the applied voltage. Sawamura shows the equivalence of diodes and FETs connected as diodes as depicted in Figures 5 and 6. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have adapted the controlling elements of Bynum et al to the apparatus of McLaury using plural diodes as suggested by Yim et al where the diodes are FETs connected as diodes as suggested by Sawamura because one skilled in the art would realize that such would make implementation of a voltage regulator easier using FET technology.

- 7. Applicant has argued the above rejection as if each reference is applied solely in a 35 U.S.C. 102 rejection. The rejection is an obvious rejection with McLaury showing the basic system with modification in accord with secondary references. The modifications in accord with Yim et al and Bynum et al result in a series of diodes with at least one bypass transistor.
- 8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** See MPEP § 706.07(a).

 Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Art Unit: 2829

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

ERNEST KARLSEN PRIMARY EXAMINER

E KARLSEN/pj

03/17/03